1	Rory T. Kay (NSBN 12416) MCDONALD CARANO LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, NV 89102 Telephones (702) 873, 4100	
2		
3	Telephone: (702) 873-4100 Facsimile: (702) 873-9996	
4	rkay@mcdonaldcarano.com	
5	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Alex Spiro (admitted pro hac vice)	
6	alexspiro@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor	
7	New York, New York 10010 Telephone: (212) 849-7000	
8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	
9	Michael T. Lifrak (admitted pro hac vice) michaellifrak@quinnemanuel.com Jeanine M. Zalduendo (admitted pro hac vice) jeaninezalduendo@quinnemanuel.com Aubrey Jones (admitted pro hac vice) aubreyjones@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14	Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter Defendant TESLA, INC.	
15	TESEM, five.	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
18		
19	TESLA, INC.,	Case No. 3:18-cv-00296-MMD-CLB
20	Plaintiff,	
21	V.	CASE STATUS REPORT
22	MARTIN TRIPP,	
23	Defendant.	
24	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS	
25		
26		
27		
28		

Plaintiff Tesla, Inc. ("Tesla"), through its attorneys of record, hereby submits the following status report to bring to the Court's attention certain issues that have arisen since the Status Conference held on August 14, 2020.

Background

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 1. Defendant Martin Tripp is a tool of an anti-Tesla group that is motivated to cause harm to Tesla's stock price, calling themselves "\$TSLAQ." The members of the group include anti-Tesla social media trolls and wealthy short sellers of Tesla stock. Tripp's case against Tesla has been funded by anonymous members of the \$TSLAQ community through a crowdfunding campaign and directly by a hedge fund with short positions in Tesla stock. By engaging in this practice, short sellers and others can secretly funnel money into improper efforts to harm Tesla. With Tripp now owing Tesla many tens of thousands of dollars (due to sanctions and Tesla's status as a prevailing party on Tripp's claims) and with Tripp making clear that he will not pay Tesla anything, it is time for this to become a two-way street and for those lurking in the shadows to be exposed and to pay up when Tripp does not.
- 2. As the Court is well aware, Tripp went on a Twitter tirade in early August, releasing volumes of Tesla's confidential and attorneys-eyes-only documents, which he had obtained through discovery and that were subject to a protective order. He also publicly attacked the Court and Tesla's counsel on Twitter. (ECF Nos. 199 and 207).
- 3. On August 14, 2020, the Court entered an Order (ECF No. 211) granting Tesla's order to show cause regarding civil contempt and case-terminating sanctions under F.R.C.P. 37(B) (ECF No. 207), for Tripp's violation of the terms of the Court's Protective Order (ECF No. 44) and the Court's subsequent Order (ECF No. 205).
- 4. Under the terms of the Court's August 14, 2020 Order, Tripp agreed to pay Tesla the amount of twenty-five thousand (\$25,000.00) dollars in attorneys' fees as sanctions within sixty days. If Tripp was unable to pay the agreed upon amount within sixty days, Tripp was to contact counsel for Tesla to discuss how such fees would be paid. (ECF No. 211). As described below, although Tripp represented to the Court that he would be funding the sanction payment to Tesla through his GoFundMe campaign proceeds (which has exceeded \$30,000), he has told Tesla

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

that he is using that money for living expenses and has offered to send Tesla a grand total of ten dollars.

5. On September 17, 2020, the Court granted Tesla's Motion for Summary Judgment on all of Tripp's Counterclaims, ending Tripp's case against Tesla. (ECF Nos. 155, 162). Whereas, Tesla's claims against Tripp for theft of trade secrets, computer crimes, breach of contract, and breach of fiduciary duty are able to continue. (ECF No. 154).

В. Tripp's Supposed Inability or Unwillingness to Pay and Intent to Further Violate the **Court's Orders**

- 6. Tripp's GoFundMe campaign has raised a total of \$31,482. A second campaign has raised \$945. (Attached hereto as Exhibit 1).
- 7. On September 12, 2020, prior to the Court's ruling on Tesla's Motion for Summary Judgment of Tripp's counterclaims, Tripp wrote to Tesla, stating that he had \$10 USD available to transfer, that he is using other GoFundMe proceeds for his living expenses, and that he "will be unable to pay the balance ordered by the court." (Attached hereto as Exhibit 2). Tripp also demanded that Tesla pay him money in order for him to cease his ongoing public communications about the issues in this case. (*Id.*).
- 8. On September 18, 2020, shortly after the Court's Summary Judgment Orders were issued, Tripp again wrote to counsel for Tesla, stating, "An update on my finances: still no employment, and will remain that way, more than likely, for the foreseeable future. ALL of my beehives and any personal items have been sold to Friends of Family. The gofundme, which was set up specifically for the \$25,000 sanction amount, has acquired a total of \$118 in donations thus far. Bear in mind, gofundme deducts a 2.9% fee as well as a .30 per donation transaction, when figuring into your calculations. I'll continue to update you monthly if you so wish." (Attached hereto as Exhibit 3).
- 9. On the evening of September 22, 2020, Tripp again wrote counsel for Tesla, this time threatening his participation in a speaking tour "throughout Europe in the coming months" and that the companies hosting his appearances have asked "what are the specifics of the \$500 / day for discussing AEO documents? Is this a per occurrence (for every document [Tripp]

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

describe[s])? Or is it per day, no matter what [Tripp] describe[s]/discuss[es]?" and "to who and where they would need to send a check." (Attached hereto as Exhibit 4).

10. Tesla has not received the \$25,000 in sanctions, and based upon Tripp's repeated communications throughout September, he does not intend to pay, and in fact intends to further violate the Protective Order (ECF. No. 44) and the Court's subsequent Orders (ECF Nos. 205, 211).

C. Tripp's Wealthy \$TSLAQ Backers

- 11. On November 16, 2018, Tripp and The Funicular Fund, LP, an affiliate of hedge fund Cable Car Capital and short-seller of Tesla stock, entered into a Litigation Funding Agreement providing \$150,000 to Mr. Tripp.
- 12. On December 31, 2019, the parties entered into an amended Litigation Funding Agreement, which provided Tripp with another \$150,000. Among other things, it stated that amounts collected from Tripp's GoFundMe campaign would be placed in a Client Trust Account and that the hedge fund would be entitled to anything left over in the Account after the case had ended.

D. **Future Litigation**

13. Given Tripp's failed case, his failure to pay, and his stated intention to continue to disseminate Tesla confidential and Attorneys' Eyes Only information, Tesla will be seeking further relief, which may include (a) additional sanctions against Tripp; (b) recovery from Tripp's litigation funder; (c) recovery from Tripp's Client Trust Fund containing Tripp's GoFundMe proceeds; and (d) an order for Tripp to pay sanctions from any future GoFundMe funds raised.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

14. Tesla will be filing the necessary motions after the October 14, 2020 deadline for Tripp to comply with the Court's Order of sanctions (ECF No. 211) by such date.

DATED this 23rd day of September, 2020.

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

By: /s/ Alex Spiro Alex Spiro 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, New York 10010

Rory T. Kay (NSBN 12416) MCDONALD CARANO LLP 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 1200 Las Vegas, NV 89102

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counter-Defendant TESLA, INC.